Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 TOKYO 05061 171041Z

11
ACTION EB-11

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 EA-11 ISO-00 EPA-04 AID-20 CEA-02

CIAE-00 COME-00 FRB-02 INR-10 IO-14 NEA-10 NSAE-00

RSC-01 OPIC-12 SP-03 TRSE-00 CIEP-02 LAB-06 SIL-01

SWF-02 OMB-01 CEQ-02 COA-02 DODE-00 L-03 NSF-04

NSC-07 PM-07 SCI-06 SS-20 FEA-02 DRC-01 /192 W
---------- 091600
R 170927Z APR 74
FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO
TO USMISSION OECD PARIS
SECSTATE WASHDC 1331

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE TOKYO 5061

E.O. 11652: NA
TAGS: ENRG JA
SUBJ: ECG: ENERGY CONSERVATION WORKING GROUP

REF: TOKYO 4856 (PARA 3)

1. MITI HAS ADVISED THAT IT HAS BEEN AGREED BETWEEN USG AN GOJ OFFICIALS THAT EMBASSY TOKYO CHANNELS WILL BE USED O RELAY MESSAGES CONCERNING CONSERVATION WORKING GROUP. IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS ARRANGEMENT, FOLLOWING DRAGT ON CONSERVATION AND DEMAND RESTRAINT PREPARED BY HOSOKAWA OF MITI AMERICA DIV. AT REQUEST OF US (SEE REFTEL) IS TRANSMITTED. BEGIN TEXT:

MONSERVATION & DEMAND RESTRAINT
(FIRST THOUGHT OF SKELETON OF A FINAL REPORT CONCLCDING RECOMMENDATIONS)

1. CONCEPTUAL STRUCTURE
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 TOKYO 05061 171041Z

(FINDINGS FROM THE QUESTIONNAIRES)

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

CONSERVATION EFFORT SHOULD BE KEPT (AS LONG AS
POSSIBLE) REGARDLERS OF THE TIME LAG FOR IMPLEOENTATION,
THAT IS, WHEN THE EFFORT IS IMPLEMENTED.

DEMAND RESTRAINT MEASURES WHICH BRING ABOUT
VITAL SACRIFICES TO ECONOMY SHOULD BE KEPT ONLY FOR ON
"EMERHEOCY PERIOD".

2. IMPORTANCE OF CONSERVATION IND DEMAND RESTRAINT

CONSERVATION AND DEMAND RESTRAINT BRING ABOUT
EFFECTIVE IMPACT ON RELIEVING THTIGHT SUPPLY-DEMAND
SITUATION, JUDGING FROM VARIOUS COUNTRIES' PAST EXPERIENCES.

AT THE SAME TIME, POLITICAL, SOCIAL, PSYCHO-
LOGICAL AND/OR ECONOMIC IMPACTS ARE APT TO BE CAUSED BY
CONSERVATION AND/OR DEMAND RESTRAINT.

EFFFORTS FOR CONSERVATION AND DEMAND RESTRAINT
HAVE NOT YET BEEN FULLY MADE AND THERE STILL REMAIN
AREAS WHICH NEED ENERGY SAVING EFFORT.

THUS, IT IS AGREED THAT CONSERVATION AND DEMAND
RESTRAINT ARE QUITE IMPORTANT FOR IMPROVING ENERGY SUPPLY-
DEMAND SITUATION, BUT THAT THEY HAVE TO BE CONSISTENT WITH
THE MAINTENANCE OF THE ACTIVE WORLD ECONOMY.

IT IS ALSO AGREED THAT EFFORTS FOR CONSUMPTION AND
DEMAND RESTRAINT SHOULD BE FURTHER MADE.

3. IDENTIFICATION OF AREAS

TYPES OF ACTIONS OF CONSERVATION AND DEMAND
RESTRAINT ARE ARRANGED IN A NEXT FORM AS FOLLOWS. (SIC)

CONSERVATION

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

TIME LAG FOR IMPLEMENTATION/TYPE OF ACTION/MEASURES NEEDED

(1) IMMEDIATELY
T
(2) S.T.
(3) SPMT.
(4) L.T.

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

DEMAND RESTRAINT

(1) IMMEDIATELY
(2) S.T.
(3) M.T.
(4) L.T.

THESE TYPES OF ACTIONS ARE CONSIDEHED TO BE
APPROPRIATE AND EFFECTIVE FOR CONSERMATION AND DEMAOD
RESTRAINT. ESPECIALLY THE STATED TYPES OF ACTIONS ARE
RECOMMENDED TO BE ADOPTED FOR A SERIOUS ENERGY SUPPLY-
DEMAND SITUATION.

EACH GOVERNMENT IS RECOMMENDED TO GET MEASURES
NEEDED FOR THE NUHPOSE READY.

EACH GOVERNMENT IS ALSO RECOMMEODED TO ENCOURAGE
THE DEVELOPMENT OF MEASURES SO THAT OTHER
TYPES OF ACTION
THAN NOW IMPLEMENTABLE CAN BE IMNLEMENTED.

4. FOLLOW-UP METHOD

ESTABLISHMENT OF FOLLOWING METHODS

(1) SETTING-UP OF A POLICY REVIEIS MECHANISM WITHIN A
FRAMEWORK OF
O.E.C.D.

CONSTITUTION: CONSISTED OF GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS
FREQUENCY: PERIODICAL

TASK: EVALUATION OF EFFECTIVENESS OF THE
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 TOKYO 05061 171041Z

EFFORT
IDENTIFIMATION OF INEFFICIENT AREAS
AND DIHECTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT

(2) SETTING-UP OF A TECHNOLOGY INFORMATION EXCHANGE
MECHANISM WITHIN FRAMEWORK OF O.E.C.D.
CONSTITUTION: CONSISTED OF GOVERNMENT TECHNICAL EXPERTS
FREQUENCY: PERIODICAL
TASK: EVALUATION OF PAST TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS
ENCOURAGEMENT OF FUTURE TECHNOLOGICAL
DEVELOPMENT END TEXT

2. HOSOKAWA ADDED VERBALLY THAT ABOVE DRAFT IS BASED
PRIMARILY ON OECD QUESTIONNAIRES. DRAFT IS TENTA-
TIVE AND IS SUBJECT TO REVISION.

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

3. OTHER THAN CORRECTING OBVIOUS TYPOS AND MISSPELLINGS,
EMBASSY HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO EDIT JAPANESE DRAFT.
EDMOND

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: CONSERVATION, AGREEMENT DRAFT, MEETINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 17 APR 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: MorefiRH
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974TOKYO05061
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740088-0844
From: TOKYO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740435/aaaabfbb.tel
Line Count: 173
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EB
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: TOKYO 4856 (PARA 3)
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: MorefiRH
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 22 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 APR 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <07-Aug-2002 by MorefiRH>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: ECG: ENERGY CONSERVATION WORKING GROUP
TAGS: ENRG, JA
To: OECD PARIS STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005